

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,424	12/28/2000	Adrian Auf Der Maur	27656/37021	7858	
4743 7	590 07/17/2006		EXAMINER		
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			WESSENDORF, TERESA D		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1639		

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		_
Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
09/750,424	DER MAUR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
T. D. Wessendorf	1639	

	T. D. Wessendorf	1639	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF		·	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply ma	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	=		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •		•
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🛛 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	: <u>none</u> .	•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.	,		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>31,33-38 and 42-47</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 3.	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	nt he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the c	•		
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce hecause:
of the reasons as set forth in the last Office actin.	at does 1401 place the application i	ir condition for allowa	noc beoduse.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. Other:	- •	- A LP	
		T:11-7	
		T. D. Wessendorf Primary Examiner	-/ /

Art Unit: 1639

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments would require further consideration and/or new search . (Cf. the present amendments to the as-filed claim 36).